UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,554	08/24/2006	Andreas Christel	76775.16	8607
Francis C Hand Carella Byrne Bain Gilfillan Cecchi Stewart & Olstein 5 Becker Farm Road Roseland, NJ 07068			EXAMINER	
			LISTVOYB, GREGORY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Occurrence	10/590,554	CHRISTEL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	GREGORY LISTVOYB	1796					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Fe	bruary 2008						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.						
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-19</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-19</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
· · · · ·							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some c)⊡ Nome of. 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	<u> </u>						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
200 the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (RTO 902) 1) Intension Summer: (RTO 412)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9, 11-16 and newly added claims 18-19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christel (W02004/055093, cited with equivalent US 2006/0147666) herein Christel in combination with Encyclopedia of Polymer Sci and Tech, vol 2, page 518, herein Encyclopedia, and Pelletizing systems options for extrusion (Extrusion Auxiliary Services), herein Pelletizing Systems and Culbert et al (WO 03/031133 cited with equivalent US 7193032) herein Culbert (all cited in the previous Office Action, necessitated by Amendment).

Christel discloses a method for the manufacture of a partially crystalline polycondensate (PET, see line 0030, meeting the limitations of Claim 6), comprising the following steps:

a) Manufacture of a polycondensate prepolymer melt with IV value of 0.15 to 0.4 dl/g (see line 0040, meeting the limitations of claim 7);

b) Formation of granulates and solidification of the polycondensate- pre-polymer melt, by means of a granulation device, wherein the granulates is cut upon exit from a nozzle

of the granulation device; c) Raising of the degree of crystallization of the prepolymer granulates; and d) Raising the molecular weight of the granulates by means of solid phase polycondensation (see lines 0071-0074), (both c) and d) processes take place simultaneously at high temperature, meeting the limitations of Claim 16) characterized in that in the step b), granulates with a mean diameter of less than 2 mm, typically between 0.4 and 1.9 preferably between 0.7 and 1.6 mm (see line 0057, meeting the limitations of Claims 2 and new Claim 18) are formed (see line 0039).

Regarding the new limitation of claims 1 and 19, claiming a temperature between steps b) and c) above ½ of Tm, Christel teaches temperature of above 205C (see line 0072).

Regarding Claim 9, Christel discloses that crystallization step c) is carried out in a fluid bed or fluidized bed reactor with the action of a fluidizing gas (see line 0070).

Regarding Claims 13 and 14-15, Christel discloses more than 94% of dicarboxylic acid component and more than 98% of ethylene glycol (see lines 0053-0054).

Regarding Claims 3-5 and 11, Christel does not disclose that granulate is cut upon exit from a nozzle, use of circumferential knife and water jet.

Encyclopedia and Pelletizing System teaches standard granulation procedures with the above knife and water jet.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skills in the art to use standard granulation technique, such as using nozzle with multiple holes, circumferential knife and water jet, since the related equipment and experience are broadly available.

Regarding claim 8, Christel teaches that solidification shall proceed in such a way that no crystal structure is obtained with excessively large crystallites, which would require high processing temperatures.

However, he does not disclose a degree of PET crystallization and that the temperature of process b) and c) does not fall under 1/4 of melting temperature of PET.

Culbert discloses a process for controlling crystallization of polyesters (PET) with their water content. Culbert achieves the required crystallization by contacting PET with~ water, whereas the lowest temperature of PET treatment is 60C (Tm of PET is 240C) (see Example 1). At certain conditions (10min under boiling water, see Example 3) no visible crystallization occurs.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skills in the art to use Culbert's

pretreatment of palletized PET prepolymer in order to achieve low (less than 10%)

degree of crystallization in order to avoid high processing temperatures.

Culbert does not openly disclose that his process is continuous one.

However, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skills in the art to modify

batch process to continuous one (see In re Dilnot, 319 F.2d 188, 138 USPQ 248 (CCPA

1963), where the court held the claimed continuous operation would have been obvious

in light of the batch process of the prior art).

Claim 17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christel in

combination with Culbert, Encyclopedia, Palletized Systems and Duh et al (US

5478868) herein Duh.

Christel discloses a method for the manufacture of a partially crystalline polycondensate

(see discussion above).

Encyclopedia and Pelletizing System teaches standard granulation procedures with the

above knife and water jet.

Culbert discloses a process for controlling crystallization of polyesters (PET) with their water content. Culbert achieves the required crystallization by contacting PET with~ water, whereas the lowest temperature of PET treatment is 60C (Tm of PET is 240C)

(see Example 1).

Christel, Culbert, Encyclopedia, Palletized Systems do not disclose foamed polyester

granules.

Duh discloses process, where foamed PEN prepolymer was prepared. Use of foamed

prepolymer allows to decrease solid state polymerization temperature to achieve the

same target of IV (see Column 6, line 40).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skills in the art to use

foamed prepolymer allow to decrease solid state polymerization temperature to achieve

the same target of IV.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 2/11/2008 have been fully considered but they are

not persuasive.

All the Applicant's arguments drawn to the point that Culbert's process is a continuous

one.

However, as noted in the body of the Rejection, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skills in the art to modify batch process to continuous one (see *In re Dilnot*, 319 F.2d 188, 138 USPQ 248 (CCPA 1963), where the court held the claimed continuous operation would have been obvious in light of the batch process of the prior art).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GREGORY LISTVOYB whose telephone number is (571)272-6105. The examiner can normally be reached on 10am-7pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,554 Page 8

Art Unit: 1796

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Rabon Sergent/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

GL